arXiv:astro-ph/0007020v1 3 Jul 2000

LOOKING FOR HIGH ENERGY PEAKED BLAZARS

L. COSTAMANTE[1,2], G. GHISELLINI[2], A. CELOTTI[3], P. GIOMMI[4], P. PADOVANI[5], G. TAGLIAFERRI[2], A. WOLTER[2], M. CHIABERGE[4], G. FOSSATI[6], E. PIAN[7], L. MARASCHI[2], F. TAVECCHIO[2], A. TREVES[8],

[1] *University of Milan, Italy;* [2] *Osservatorio di Brera, Milan, Italy;* [5] *STScI, Baltimore, USA;* [3] *S.I.S.S.A., Trieste, Italy;* [4] *BeppoSAX SDC, Rome, Italy;* [6] *CASS/UCSD, La Jolla, USA;* [7] *TESRE, Bologna, Italy;* [8] *Univ. dell'Insubria, Como, Italy.*

ABSTRACT. Blazars can be classified on the basis of their overall Spectral Energy Distribution (SED). BL Lac objects are usually divided in LBL or HBL (Low or High energy peaked BL Lacs), according to the peak frequency of the synchrotron emission, if in the optical or UV–soft-X band respectively. FSRQs instead are characterized by synchrotron peaks mainly at IR–optical frequencies, similarly to LBLs. Here we report on recent *Beppo*SAX observations which are unveiling the high energy branch of the range of synchrotron peak frequencies. Four new "extreme" HBLs have been discovered, one of which (1ES 1426+428) peaks near or above 100 keV, in a quiescent state.

1. Introduction

Blazars can be classified also by the shape of their overall SED, as "red" or "blue" objects whether the peak of the synchrotron emission (in a νF_ν representation) lies in the IR–optical or UV–soft-X band, respectively. In the X–ray band this distinction becomes quite apparent, since "red" objects show a flat ($\alpha_x < 1$) inverse Compton spectrum, while "blue" objects show a steep ($\alpha_x > 1$) spectrum, due to the tail of the synchrotron emission. It was believed that FSRQs showed exclusively "red" properties, while BLLacs were found with either "red" (LBLs, mainly radio selected) or "blue" properties (HBLs, mainly X–ray selected). Recently however, new surveys like the DXRBS (Perlman et al. 1998), the RGB (Laurent–Muehleisen et al. 1998) and the REX (Caccianiga et al. 1999) are revealing a more complete scenario, thanks to the covering of regions of the parameters space previously unexplored (in F_x, F_r and broad band spectral indices α_{rx}, α_{ro} and α_{ox}). Among FSRQs, they have discovered a new type of objects, with broad band spectral indices very similar to HBLs, which qualify them as "blue quasar" candidates, the missing counterpart in a possible FSRQ–BL Lac simmetric scenario (further details and the *Beppo*SAX discover of the first "blue" FSRQ in Padovani et al. 2000, in preparation). Among BLLacs, these surveys are showing that they actually form a continuous class with respect to the peak of the synchrotron emission, which smoothly ranges between IR and soft–X frequencies (and up to the 2–10 keV band for some "extreme" sources like Mkn 421). Another interesting question is if there exists a limit at the blue end of the sequence. In fact, the *Beppo*SAX observations of Mkn 501 (Pian et al. 1997) and 1ES 2344+514 (Giommi et al. 1997) have revealed that, at least in a flaring state, the synchrotron peak can reach even higher energies, around or

above 100 keV. In these cases the X–ray slope in the 1–10 keV band is *flat* even if the radiation process is synchrotron. Here we report on the *Beppo*SAX observing campaign performed with the aim of finding and studying other sources as "extreme" as Mkn 501 in flaring state.

Tab. 1 - LECS+MECS best fit parameters

Source	N_H 10^{20} cm^{-2}	α_1	E_{break} keV	α_2	F_{1keV} μJy	F_{2-10} ergs/cm^{2}s	χ^2_r/d.o.f.
1ES 0120+340	5.2 *gal.*	$0.82^{-0.96}_{+0.26}$	$1.4^{-0.7}_{+1.2}$	$1.32^{-0.08}_{+0.08}$	$4.5^{-0.6}_{+2.1}$	1.3×10^{-11}	0.92/93
PKS 0548-322	$4.2^{-0.9}_{+1.1}$	$0.91^{-0.16}_{+0.10}$	$4.4^{-2.2}_{+1.8}$	$1.38^{-0.31}_{+0.59}$	$5.7^{-0.5}_{+0.5}$	2.3×10^{-11}	0.95/82
1ES 1426+428	$1.5^{-0.3}_{+0.4}$	$0.92^{-0.04}_{+0.04}$	—	—	$4.6^{-0.2}_{+0.2}$	2.0×10^{-11}	1.00/89
H 2356-309	1.3 *gal.*	$0.78^{-0.09}_{+0.06}$	$1.8^{-0.6}_{+0.6}$	$1.10^{-0.05}_{+0.05}$	$6.2^{-0.5}_{+0.5}$	2.5×10^{-11}	0.94/35

2. Extreme BL Lacs

Our candidates have been selected from the Einstein Slew Survey and the RASSBSC catalogues. The selection criteria were based on properties suggesting a high ν_{peak}: a) very high F_x/F_{radio} ratio ($> 3 \times 10^{-10}$ erg cm^{-2} s^{-1} / Jy, at [0.1–2.4] keV and 5 GHz, respectively); b) flat X–ray spectrum (when available), connecting smoothly with the flux at lower frequencies; c) appropriate values of α_{ro}, α_{ox} and α_{rx} (Padovani & Giommi 1995). A high X–ray flux ($> 10^{-11}$ erg cm^{-2} s^{-1}) was also requested, to achieve a good detection in the PDS instrument. In Table 1 the main results for the first 4 sources observed by *Beppo*SAX are reported. All have been detected in the PDS band. For three of them the spectrum is best fitted with a convex broken power–law: this locates the peak of the synchrotron emission at 1–4 keV, thus confirming the "extreme" nature of these sources. The spectrum of 1ES 1426+428 is instead well fitted by a single power–law, with a flat spectral index ($\alpha = 0.92$) up to 100 keV, thus **constraining the synchrotron peak to lie near or above 100 keV**. This result was not straightforward, due to the presence of the quasar GB 1428+422 (Fabian et al. 1998) at 41 arcmin, i.e. inside the PDS f.o.v. ($\sim 1.3^\circ$ FWHM) but outside the imaging instruments' f.o.v. ($\sim 28'$ radius, for the MECS). However, for a lucky coincidence, *Beppo*SAX observed both sources only four days apart: thanks to the collaboration between the two proposing groups, we have been able to estimate the inter–contamination. The strategy has been to add the LECS+MECS best fit model of one source into the PDS model for the LECS+MECS+PDS fit of the other source, after accounting for the instrument off–axis response, and cross–checking the results for consistency. As shown in Fig. 1 (left) the PDS points remain slightly above the flat ($\alpha_x = 0.92$) powerlaw, with no sign of declining, up to 100 keV. This result remains unchanged even in the hypothesis, for GB 1428+422, of a variability of a factor $\sim$4 in 4 days, from $F_{1keV} = 0.30$ μJy to $F_{1keV} = 1.44$ μJy (more details in Costamante et al., in preparation). Note that the observed X–ray flux of 1ES 1426+428 (the source is monitored by XTE) was not particularly high: this suggests that the object, unlike the others "over 100 keV" sources, was not in a flaring state during the *Beppo*SAX observation. It is then likely that 1ES 1426+428 is



Fig. 1. Left: LECS+MECS fit to 1ES 1426+428 X–ray data, accounting for the contribution (above 15 keV) of GB 1428+422. Right: α_{rx} as a function of ν_{peak}. Crosses (LBL) and empty squares (HBL) are from Comastri et al. (1995); open circles (HBL) from Wolter et al. (1998). Filled circles are our sources and Mkn 501, in the flaring state.

the first source found in a "quiescent extreme" state, offering us the best opportunity to study the acceleration mechanism at its limit. It is interesting also to study the relation between the broad spectral index α_{rx} and the frequency of the synchrotron peak: compared to the HBLs data in Wolter et al. (1998), the "extreme" BLLacs parameters suggest a flattening at high frequencies of the correlation between α_{rx} and ν_{peak} (see Fig. 1, right). This behaviour is expected in a scenario in which the synchrotron peak moves smoothly from lower to higher energies: as long as the radio and X–ray bands are observing different branches of the synchrotron emission (before and after the peak), radio and X fluxes change differently as the peak shifts towards higher frequencies, thus changing α_{rx}. When the peak moves into the X–ray band and beyond, both bands start observing the same branch of the emission, and so both fluxes change similarly as the peak moves further. Consequently α_{rx} stabilizes at a common (flat) value.

For all these 4 sources, the high values of the synchrotron peak frequencies make them good candidates for TeV emission through the Inverse Compton mechanism.

Acknowledgements

L.C. thanks the STScI Visitor Program and the Cariplo Foundation for support.

References

Caccianiga A. et al. 1999, *Astrophys. J.* **513**, 51
Comastri A., Molendi S. & Ghisellini G. 1995, *Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc.* **277**, 297
Fabian A.C., Iwasawa K. et al., 1998 *Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc.* **295**, 25
Giommi P., Padovani P., Perlman E., Nucl. Physics B (Proc. Suppl.), vol. 69, p.407
Laurent-Muehleisen et al. 1998, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **118**, 127
Perlman E., Padovani P., Giommi P., Sambruna R., et al. 1998, *Astron. J.* **115**, 1253
Pian E. et al. 1997, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **492**, L17
Wolter A. et al. 1998, *Astron. Astrophys.* **335**, 899